



CHSCB Board Minutes

Date of Meeting	20th June 2017
Venue	Tomlinson Centre, Hackney
Chair	Jim Gamble (JG), Independent Chair of the CHSCB
Minutes	Sarah Seymour (SS), Board Co-ordinator

Agency	Membership	Attendance
CAFCASS	Paula Kelly (PK), Service Manager – Public Law	Apologies
Children and Family Services	Andrew Carter (ACar), Chief Officer/ Director Community & Children's Services, City of London Corporation	Apologies
	Chris Pelham (CP), Assistant Director People, City of London Corporation	Attended
	Anne Canning (AC), Group Director of Children, Adults & Community Health, London Borough of Hackney	Apologies
	Sarah Wright (SWr), Director of Children & Families, London Borough of Hackney	Attended
	Lisa Aldridge (LA), Interim Head of Service, Safeguarding & Learning, Hackney Children and Family Services	Attended
	Pauline Adams (PA), Head of Service, Young Hackney, London Borough of Hackney	Apologies
Hackney Learning Trust	Sian Davies (SD), Interim Head of Hackney Learning Trust	Apologies
	Andrew Lee (AL), Assistant Director	Apologies
Hackney Safer Communities	Steve Bending (SB), Head of Service	Apologies
City of London Community Safety	David MackIntosh (DM), Team Manager	Apologies
Police	Simon Laurence (SL), Borough Commander, MPS Hackney	Attended
	Catherine Edginton (CE), Detective Chief Inspector, MPS Hackney	Attended
	Stuart Cheek (SC), A/DCI, Child Abuse Investigation Team, MPS CAIT	Attended
	Paul Barnard (PBa), Detective Chief Superintendent, CoL Police	Apologies (Rep)
Probation	Aveen Gardiner (AG) Area Manager – North London, London Community Rehabilitation Company.	Apologies
	Stuart Webber (SWe), Assistant Chief Office, Head of Service - City of London, Hackney & Tower Hamlets, National Probation Service	Attended
Health	Clare Highton (CH), Chair of City & Hackney CCG	Apologies
	Mary Lee (ML), Designated Nurse, City & Hackney CCG	Attended
	Pauline Frost (PF), Interim Programme Director – Children & Maternity, City & Hackney CCG	Attended
	Dr Nick Lessof (NL), Designated Doctor, City & Hackney CCG	Attended
	Tracey Fletcher (TF), Chief Executive, HUH	Apologies
	Marcia Smikle (MS), Head of Safeguarding Children, HUH	Attended
	Vanessa Lodge (VL), Director of Nursing, NHS England	Apologies
	Karen Miller (KM), Head of Safeguarding, Whittington Health	-
ELFT	Jonathan Warren (JW), Director of Nursing	Apologies
	Tony Madden (TM), Director for Specialist Services	Attended
Public Health	Penny Bevan (PB), Director of Public Health	Apologies
Housing	Michael Scorer, Director of Housing Services, Hackney Housing	Apologies

HCVS	Kristine Wellington (KW), Head of Safeguarding, Children & Families	Attended
City Gateway	Juliette Curtin (JC), Senior Youth Work Manager	Apologies
London Ambulance Service	Alison Blakely (ABI) Quality, Governance & Assurance Manager	Apologies
Lay member	Sally Glen (SG), Lay Member	Attended
	Shirley Green (SGr), Lay Member	Attended
	Belinda Blank (BB), Lay Member	Apologies
Lead Member	Cllr. Anntoinette Bramble (ABr), Lead Member for Hackney	Apologies
	Dhruv Patel (DP), Common Councilman	Apologies
Schools	Janice Thomas (JT), Executive Headteacher, Sebright School	Attended
	Jane Keeley (JK), Headteacher, Haggerston School	Apologies
CHSCB Team	Rory McCallum (RMc), Senior Professional Advisor to the CHSCB	Attended
	Angela Bent (AB), Board Manager	Apologies

(- Denotes no response)

Also in attendance

Mark Chapman (MC), T/DCI, City of London Police – For Paul Barnard (PBa)	Attended
Lauren Smith (LS), Training Co-ordinator, CHSCB - Observer	Attended

Agenda Item 1: Introductions & matters arising from the previous meetings (21st March 2017)

Presenting: Jim Gamble

Discussion/ Challenge

Introductions were given and apologies noted. The minutes of the previous meeting on 21st March 2017 were **APPROVED** as an accurate reflection of the meeting. The Chair addressed the action log from March 2017. Outcomes and updates are recorded below.

Action Log – 21st March 2017

Previous Actions		Lead	Update
Agenda Item 4: Partnership Updates	09/16-08: Update the CHSCB on the champion's network in next update to CHSCB.	SW	Carried forward – RMc and JG scheduled to attend the NPS October champion's network meeting.
	09/16-09 – Engage Hackney CYPS and jointly provide an update on progress regarding shadowing opportunities for both sets of staff.	SW/ SWr	Carried forward – Mtg scheduled for July 2017.
March Actions		Lead	Update
Item 2: Risk Registers & Self-Assessment	03/17-01: CHSCB to monitor/review the position regarding CoL Police staff training and follow up with a formal note from Jim if required.	JG	Carried forward – CoL Police have taken mitigating actions to limit the impact of this risk. This includes completing all vulnerability/ DA training before the new NICHE (Crime/ Intel/ Custody) system and training are introduced. JG to follow up with a formal note.
Item 5: Partner Updates	03/17-02: SS to circulate information regarding CoL's Social Media and Safeguarding conference for independent schools conference on 28 th March 2017.	SS	Complete
	03/17-03: TM to investigate how many of the adults ELFT are working with in Hackney have children, and whether Children's Social Care are aware of these children.	TM	Carried forward – TM currently reviewing and will report to the QA Sub Group and the next Board mtg.
Item 10: AoB	03/17-04: RMc to submit the CHSCB response to the CP Procedural Changes consultation.	RMc	Complete
	03/17-05: SS to circulate the tabled papers the CHSCB members following the meeting.	SS	Complete

Agenda Item 2: Risk Registers and Self-Assessment

Presenting: Rory McCallum

Discussion/ Challenge

CHSCB Risk Register:

RMc advised that the CHSCB risk register had been agreed at the City of London and Hackney Executive Groups in May 2017. All ratings remained the same and updates have been annotated in red text. Risk register agreed by CHSCB with no additional questions raised.

Operational Risk Register:

Three red rated risks have been identified by partners; two by Homerton University Hospital (HUH) and one by the Metropolitan Police Service (MPS).

MS explained that both HUH risks relate to commissioning changes to the Children Health Information Service (CHIS). Information flows for new births, children transferring in and out of City and Hackney and new-born bloodspot results are not being received reliably from the new central Hub. MS added that there is a very high risk that there are children under the age of five living in City and Hackney who are unknown to the Home Visit service and are not receiving appropriate follow up. The following issues have been identified:

- Lack of clear technical plan on how data will be transferred;
- Lack of detailed data flow requirements;
- Lack of funding to support development of technical solution to manage daily data downloads from the hub into patient records and team planners;
- Lack of funding post transfer for functions currently undertaken by HUH child health department i.e. Records management in and out of the boroughs; and
- Unrealistic timescales for transfer.

ML commented that this is a London wide issue that City & Hackney CCG has escalated to NHS England. It was agreed that NHS England should be invited to provide an update at the next Board meeting on the changes to the Child Health Information Service (CHIS) and mitigating actions to any clinical and/or safeguarding risks that have been identified.

Action	Lead	Timescale
06/17-01: RMc to invite NHS England to the next Board meeting to report on the changes to the Child Health Information Service (CHIS)	RMc	September meeting

The MPS continues to rate Detective shortages as a red risk. There is currently a shortage of Detective Officers of all ranks within Borough policing and the retention of these Detectives within Borough CID continues to be problematic. This is an issue for the MPS London wide not just within Hackney.

As a result less experienced police officers are required to investigate (with supervision) more serious offences which would ordinarily have been investigated by officers of Detective rank. CE advised that they are trying to mitigate the issue by introducing a direct entry scheme which will see recruits fast tracked to the detective rank. Resource pressures continue, however, especially with resources being diverted to support the emergency response at Grenfell Tower.

JG commented that he wished to state on record his deep admiration and appreciation for the work the Police, London Fire Service, London Ambulance Service and all those involved in dealing with the Grenfell Tower fire, and aftermath.

JG thanked the partners who had submitted a partner update. He reminded partners of the importance of providing these reports which are an essential way through which the CHSCB can hear about both the progress and the areas of challenge facing individual organisations in the context of their child safeguarding responsibilities. They are also an important mechanism through which the CHSCB holds partners to account as well as populating the operational risk register. He advised that he would be writing to partners who had not submitted a report this month to remind them of the need to do so for the next meeting.

Action	Lead	Timescale
06/17-02: JG to formally write to partners who have not submitted a recent partner update	JG	31/07/2017

CHSCB Self-Assessment:

RMc advised that the Self-Assessment had also been reviewed at the City of London and Hackney Executive Groups in May 2017. He advised that the majority of actions in the self-assessment, which mainly came from the development day, are green/amber.

There is one Red action regarding analysis of performance data re referrals made to adult services.

The CHSCB needs to seek reassurance that referrals are being made from Adults to Children's Services, the learning from SCR H should be used to bring this into focus.

This action is red as neither The City of London nor Hackney currently report this level of detail in the CHSCB dataset. Current reports are based on the defined DfE reporting categories for referral sources. This means the CHSCB has no easy way to identify the numbers of referrals arising from Adult Services or ELFT (Adult Mental Health). This issue further extends to other agencies, with the dataset, for example, not differentiating referrals made from the range of education settings.

This issue has been raised at the QA Sub Group. JG will formally follow up with both the City and Hackney.

Action	Lead	Timescale
06/17-03: JG to write to City of London Corporation and Hackney Children & Families service requesting a review of data capture in respect of referrals.	JG	31/07/2017

Agenda Item 3: Safeguarding & Performance Trends: City of London and Hackney

Presenting: Rory McCallum

Discussion/ Challenge

RMc advised members that the paper provided covered Q3 data. This had been considered at the last QA Sub Group meeting and reviewed at City and Hackney Executive meetings in May 2017.

City of London performance headlines include:

- There is a slight increase in total A&E presentations although there is an overall reduction in presentations by under five-year olds.
- After an initial dip there has been an increase in contacts into Early Help and Support.
- There has been a significant increase in contacts (61.7%), and referrals (29.8%).
- There has also been an increase in assessment activity over the year, resulting in a correlating impact on assessment timeliness and a decrease in the % completed within timescale (89% to date).
- The number of CYP subject to a CP plan decreased to 3. There has been an increase in the number of CIN with a noted increase of CIN with disability (from 2 to 6 over Q2 & Q3).
- Number of LAC remains constant with 100% of reviews completed within timescales.
- An increase in unaccompanied asylum seeking children (UASC) is also adding pressure to their small social work team.

Hackney performance headlines include:

- There has been a noted increase in self-harm presentations and substance misuse admissions in Q3. The QA Sub Group are planning to further scrutinise this data in connection with the three recent suicides.
- There has also been an increase in criminal offences (overall/ violent and sexual) against young people and the number of children & young people who have been made subject to Police protection.
- 2016-17 shows a slight reduction in contacts but an increase in referrals to Children's Social Care.
- 2016-17 has also seen an increase in social work assessments including S47 investigations.
- There has been the highest demand to date for child protection plans and number of looked after children.
- Number of children in need, and private fostering notifications both remain consistent.

SG commented that the increase in suicides appears to be a London wide issue and queried whether the CHSCB should look at this from a pan London perspective. RMc commented that City and Hackney Public health teams have been / are working on their local prevention plans and the CHSCB has been engaged in developing the response to local clusters / contagion.

Through the Suicide roundtable meetings, JG hopes to work with the CAMHS lead to consider a pan-London response. ML commented that the CCG has data that can be analysed by postcode. It is still being validated but once

it is available it will be shared with the roundtable members. She also noted that there has been an increase in referrals of children & young people to CAMHS.

KW advised that the VCS are working in partnership with ELFT to pilot a new community In-Reach service model combined with a series of regular support groups and community conversations sessions delivered in partnership with professionals and community organisations. The service will be conducted at a range of accessible community settings including children centres, the youth hubs and other venues in the third sector.

SGr remarked that she had attended a community forum the week before where people were raising concerns around children self-harming and how affected they have been by suicide. She commented that these forums provide valuable information and feedback that we need to capture in the work we are doing around this area. She felt it was important that we have dialogue and conversations with these forums.

Action	Lead	Timescale
06/17-04: Engagement with relevant community forums to be considered at next roundtable meeting. ..	RMc	Next meeting

SGr noted the high demand for services, particularly in Hackney and queried whether we are looking at our workforce in terms of managing this growing need and demand – have we considered whether we have sufficient workforce capacity to respond to this demand?

SWr responded that all agencies are all working within constrained budgets. As much as she would like to be able to increase staffing levels, this simply is not possible at present. C&F Services in Hackney are supporting staff as much as possible by maintaining training and support for staff.

JG commented that the increased demand in Hackney has been an upward trend for over a year now and that despite this, staff turnover rates in Hackney are still in a healthy position at 11.2%. He remarked that the National average is 17%. This shows strong evidence that Hackney’s workforce remain engaged.

SWr remarked that it remains a significant challenge. They have had some new services join the Children & Families Service including for example the Domestic Abuse Investigation Service (DAIS). These changes offer possible flexibilities to look at resourcing differently. They are also just launching the Contextual Safeguarding project which seeks to develop improved social work models in collaboration with multi-agency partners.

Agenda Item 4: MET Police report – Tasers fired in Hackney
Presenting: Simon Laurence
Discussion/ Challenge

SL presented on the use of Tasers in Hackney. This review had been requested by CHSCB to highlight the use of Tasers in Hackney against persons aged 17 years and below. The report compares Taser deployment against young people and adults and also provides comparative data against similar boroughs namely: Tower Hamlets; Haringey; Newham; and Lambeth.

Since 2013 the Taser has been used on 23 occasions as a tactical option when dealing with persons who are 17 years old or younger in Hackney. Hackney have 10 Tasers assigned with a pool of around 50 police officers trained in its use. Any use of Taser is a ‘use of force’ which needs to be justified by the individual officer. This is done by completing a form, which is supervised and collated centrally and also sent to the Home Office.

There are four stages of Taser deployment, defined as follows:

- Drawing Taser – means removing from the holster. Even if it’s not seen by the subject, this is still a use of force and needs justification.
- Aiming Taser – means pointing the Taser at a subject. This will be coupled with verbal commands.
- Red Dot Challenge – means aiming the Taser and switching the Taser on. This causes the Taser to emit a red dot of light which shows the subject where the Taser is aimed at. This will be coupled with verbal commands.
- Firing Taser – means Taser has been activated. The barbs from the cartridge have been fired.

In 2016, 6 of 63 Hackney Taser usages (9.5%) were deployed against 17 year olds or younger. During the same period 127 out of 1491 MPS Taser usages (8.5%) were deployed against 17 year olds or younger. To 31st March 2017

1 of 12 Hackney Taser usages (8.3%) were deployed against 17 year olds or younger, whereas 17 of 412 MPS Taser usages (9.2%) were deployed against 18 year olds or younger.

- In 2013 Hackney deployed the Taser 3 times on under 18 year olds, all of which were red dot challenges.
- In 2014 there were 5 deployments: 1 draw and 4 red dot challenges.
- In 2015 there were 9 deployments: 3 draw and 6 red dot challenges. If we compare this with other London Boroughs in 2015 Tower Hamlets had 6, Newham had 3, Lambeth had 8 and Haringey had 4 Taser deployments.
- By 30th Sept 2016 there were 5 deployments in Hackney. This included one firing on a 16 year-old who was part of a group fighting with weapons. When issued with a red dot challenge he failed to comply, he was running away from officers and was thought to be possibly in possession of a knife. For the same period Tower Hamlets had 7, Newham had 1, Lambeth had 19 and Haringey had 6 Taser deployments.

SL concluded by saying that the Commissioner has announced 1800 more officers are to be trained in the use of Taser deployment. He also advised that a new Taser model is expected that will be able to fire twice.

SGr commented that when this issue was originally raised at a group she was attending, they were interested in understanding the demographics of the individuals involved - in particular the ethnicity of individuals, and whether they had any mental health issues. She added that because we are talking about such small numbers it would be useful to know the actual numbers rather than percentages.

CE commented that for the 9 deployments in 2015 the self-defined ethnicity codes of those involved were as follows:

- 2 were Black Caribbean,
- 3 were Black other,
- 2 were White other and
- 2 were unknown.

She also advised that there has been one incident highlighted in Hackney where mental health was a factor. This incident involved a 15 year old who was armed with a cricket bat. He was red dot challenged and he complied.

JG commented that he supports the use of Tasers. It is less lethal option and he has found it helpful to understand the context around Taser deployment. He asked SL if he could provide more information about the one incident when the Taser had been fired and asked if they are satisfied that this was an appropriate use of force?

SL advised that the incident involved a 16 year old male seen as part of a group fighting with weapons and knives. Whilst speaking to the male information came to light he had discarded a large knife. The male then ran away from police. As he did this, a red dot challenge was initiated. The Police Officer was in pursuit about 3 metres away from suspect. The male failed to stop, and Officers continued to chase him, but it become apparent he was not going to stop. He had previously been in possession of a knife, and officers were unsure if he still was in possession of weapons. The Taser was activated and the male was safely detained and arrested.

CE added that it was important to note that in the heat of the moment Police Officers are not necessarily going to know the age of the individual when they consider Taser deployment. She also remarked that where Taser's are involved they are less likely to shoot an individual. In addition, Tasers provide officers with greater protection in the fight against knives than a retractable metal baton.

JG thanked SL and CE for their presentation and confirmed that the Board is reassured from this that Taser deployment in Hackney is being coordinated and managed in a proportionate manner.

Agenda Item 5: Partnership Updates

Presenting: All

Discussion/ Challenge

JG thanked partners for their updates and proposed that rather than going through the detail of each report he would just take questions/comments from Board members.

City & Hackney CCG

JG noted that the CCG report stated that they provide funding to providers to support an audit programme to be undertaken. He asked ML who they use for the audits and whether they are Independent. ML advised that providers

identify audits that they would like to undertake. CCG identify audits required for assurance purposes. Agreement is then reached between providers and CCG and the funding allocated accordingly.

City Gateway

JT raised an issue around the transition of year 7 children into secondary schools, and queried if any work can be done to identify and notify schools of vulnerable children transitioning so they can target/ encourage them to access youth services before they enter secondary school.

CP commented that the City of London have a smaller cohort of children so this is not as acute an issue for the City, but acknowledge that JT raised a good point.

SWr commented that Young Hackney should have some information/ intelligence around this that can be shared. JG suggested that we needed to get a sense from all partners what information they have in relation to vulnerable children transitioning to secondary school and how this might be better shared with schools and agreed to write to partners.

Action	Lead	Timescale
06/17-05: JG to write to partners to identify: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - what arrangements they have in place to identify vulnerable children transitioning from primary to secondary schools. - how this information is/ could be shared with schools. 	JG	31/07/2017

City of London Corporation

RMc noted the suicide attempts by young people at City of London Bridges and the work that Vulnerable Adolescents and Multi Agency Sexual Exploitation Forum have been doing around suicide prevention and contagion. He observed that one of the young people who had attempted suicide was resident in Hackney.

JG queried whether caseloads referred to in the City report related to a single child or families. It was confirmed that each case load refers to one child in both the City of London and in Hackney.

SG commented that she had found the research on affluent Neglect at yesterday’s conference really interesting. She asked if the findings of the report could be circulated once it has been completed. JG asked if the Children’s Social Care and Early Help Service Improvement Plan referenced in the report would join/ link with CHSCB plans. CP confirmed that it would.

City of London Police

RMc asked if a summary of learning from the dip sampling of domestic abuse cases could be included in future partner updates.

Action	Lead	Timescale
06/17-06: CoL Police to share summary of learning from domestic abuse case audits.	MC/ PBa	Next meeting

Homerton University Hospital

JG remarked that the Homerton report included some excellent feedback. SG commented that her association with Homerton Hospital has been very good and that their innovative approach is so important.

LB Hackney - Children and Families Service

JG thanked SWr for their comprehensive report. SGr commented that she had recently attended the CVS Children and Families Provider Group forum which is well attended by a diverse range community groups. A presentation was provided on contextual safeguarding by representatives from children’s social care.

For many people there this was the first time they had been spoken to about the project. Whilst recognising that this was an initial presentation and further conversations will no doubt be arranged, SGr noted that there was some frustration amongst the community groups regarding the absence of communication from the Local Authority about this work and a lack of involvement in the development and design of the model.

LA acknowledged SGr points and explained that they had been planning to use this event as an engagement session, but they were not allocated the time they were expecting to have at the meeting which meant that they ended up only being able to present the overview.

JG commented that the contextual safeguarding project provided Hackney with an outstanding opportunity to do something very different. The key to its success will be partnership working, particularly with voluntary organisations who already have relationships with the community. He acknowledged that communication could have been better but recognised that the Local Authority only had a short timescale within which to secure the money, and that now they have secured the money they will be looking to engage with all partners.

SGr added that all professionals need to think about how they are presenting information to/ conversing with non-statutory community partners. Presentations/ conversations need to be appropriately targeted for their audience. JG agreed to write to partners to remind them how to effectively and consistently engage non-statutory bodies when developing partnership initiatives.

Action	Lead	Timescale
06/17-07: JG to write to all partners in respect of how to effectively and consistently engage non-statutory bodies when developing partnership initiatives.	JG	31/07/2017

LB Hackney – Hackney Learning Trust

JG picked-up on the point regarding ongoing dialogue regarding unregistered school settings. He advised that as the Independent Chair he is going to write again to Local Community Leaders, the Government and Ofsted on this issue. His line will be that he feels the Local Authorities and Partners are being let down by Government, They are trying to safeguard children in these settings but they are not being given the correct tools do this and this situation cannot continue.

MET Police – Child Abuse Investigation Team

JG commented that it was really good to see that Hackney CAIT had achieved 100% Case Conference attendance and had also completed 100% of reports for Review conferences. No further comments or questions were raised.

National Probation Service

JG commented that it was useful to see this update report. No comments or questions were raised by members.

Public Health – Child Death Overview Panel

No comments or questions were raised.

Two further partner updates were tabled at the meeting, the first SGR’s Lay Member report and the second a Public Health Safeguarding report.

Agenda Item 6: Staff Survey, Section 11, Section 157/ 175 Audits: Headline Findings
Presenting: Sandra Reid
Discussion/ Challenge

SR presented the headline findings from the s11 / s157 audit reports and also the CHSCB staff survey. She advised that full reports will be provided for review at the Executive Group meetings in July 2017.

Audits

SR highlighted that there had been an increase in the number of audit responses received from GP Practices and Early Years settings and a decrease in the number of responses submitted from Hackney Maintained schools. To date, no responses had been received from Hackney Libraries, Heritage & Cultures, Whittington Health, Hackney Housing, Hackney CVS and the London Ambulance Services. London Fire Brigade submitted a national return.

- Seven agencies (Children’s Social Care, Hackney Learning Trust, CoL Community Safety Team, CoL Housing, CoL Leisure, Sport & Culture, People Services and CoL Police) self-assessed as fully compliant.
- Further improvement is required around training standards.
- GP self-assessments showed improvement in the majority of standards.
- Further improvement is required in Hackney Early Years settings regarding local threshold training and in Commissioned agencies around training and safer recruitment - processes should be replicated for volunteers and seasonal workers, not just for permanent staff.
- In schools issues around the monitoring and supervision of Designated Safeguarding Leads were identified with only 50% in City of London and 61% in Hackney fully meeting this standard.

Staff Survey

- The response rate in City of London increased by 42% (from 113 to 163 responses), this includes a marked increase in responses from schools settings.
- In Hackney the response rate dropped by 36% (from 433 to 278) including a marked decrease in responses from Hackney Housing and Homerton Hospital.
- No responses were received from British Transport Police, Cafcass, Library Services, Licensing, London Fire Brigade, National Probation Services and Whittington Health.

Results suggest that the large majority of staff working with children & young people feel they have access to good quality safeguarding supervision or support. CHSCB will continue to seek assurances around this, particularly in light of reduced resources and the Board's new healthy workforce priority.

Responses also indicate continued and growing confidence in the workforce knowing what to do if a professional is concerned about the behaviour of a professional who works with children and young people. This is consistent with LADO awareness raising activities that have taken place.

JG advised that more detailed reports will be coming to the next Hackney & City of London Executive Group meetings, where we will be able to look at the results in more depth. He thanked SR for her work in completing this work, and commented he found it particularly useful to see the themes from the audit and staff survey overlaid as they have been.

Agenda Item 7: Serious Case Reviews/ Local Learning Reviews

Presenting: Rory McCallum

Discussion/ Challenge

Child NNO

RMc advised that this is a newly commissioned SCR. The review author has been appointed, the first review panel meeting has been set up and the terms of reference have been agreed.

CASE FC

JG is continuing to challenge the Home Office regarding the need to review their guidance on sharing of soft intelligence. He has submitted a further letter to the Home Office the response to which has been delayed as a result of purdah. JG has also submitted a response to the National Fostering Stocktake being led by Sir Martin Narey setting out his recommendations and the lack of engagement by the Home Office.

Child M

The SCR report was agreed at the last SCR sub group meeting. The draft LSCB response is being prepared which will be circulated together with the action plan. There are two key lessons from this review. The first relates to good practice in relation to partnership working and the second to lessons around professional curiosity and disguised compliance.

C

RMc outlined that this was the tragic case that saw a 4 year old boy die of starvation following the death of his mother. He advised that he had recently provided an update on this case to the Safeguarding Adults Board. A Regulation 28 report had been sent by the Coroner to Minister Edward Timpson outlining their recommendations in relation to this case. JG has followed this up with a letter to Edward Timpson setting out the initial learning from a local review being undertaken by the CHSCB

Agenda Item 8: Children & Social Work Act 2017

Presenting: Rory McCallum

Discussion/ Challenge

RMc advised that there is nothing to report/ discuss at this stage. He therefore, deferred this item.

Agenda Item 9: Any Other Business: Neglect JTAI and Immigration NPPF

Presenting: Rory McCallum

Discussion/ Challenge

The following items were discussed under any other business:

Neglect JTAI

RMc advised Board members that the Neglect JTAI would be on the agenda for the next Board meeting.

Immigration NRPF

RMc advised that an issue relating to people with no recourse to public funds had come to light in the Child M Serious Case Review. The Review Panel have asked the author to include the issue in the report and to highlight other cases where he has identified similar issues. It has also been recommended that the CHSCB write to the Home Office.

CHSCB Annual Report 2016-17

RMc commented that he plans to include more success stories in the 2016-17 CHSCB Annual Report and that he is keen to use it as an opportunity to highlight and celebrate the good work happening across the partnership.

Jane Keeley

JT advised that JK will be retiring shortly and queried whether the Board wished to do anything to mark this occasion. JG advised that he had written to JK today, but that he would also write more formally to thank her and acknowledge her contribution to the Board.

Action Log – 20th June 2017

Previous Actions		Lead	Update	
Item 4: Partnership Updates	09/16-08: Update the CHSCB on the champion's network in next update to CHSCB.	SW	Carried forward – RMc and JG scheduled to attend the NPS October champion's network meeting.	
	09/16-09 – Engage Hackney CYPS and jointly provide an update on progress regarding shadowing opportunities for both sets of staff.	SW/ SWr	Carried Forward – Mtg scheduled for July 2017.	
Item 2: Risk Registers & Self-Assessment	03/17-01: CHSCB to monitor/review the position regarding CoL Police staff training and follow up with a formal note from Jim if required.	JG	Carried forward – CoL Police have taken mitigating actions to limit the impact of this risk. This includes completing all vulnerability/ DA training before the new NICHE (Crime/ Intel/ Custody) system and training are introduced. JG to follow up with a formal note.	
Item 5: Partnership Updates	03/17-03: TM to investigate how many of the adults ELFT are working with in Hackney have children, and whether Children's Social Care are aware of these children.	TM	Carried forward – TM currently reviewing and will report to the QA Sub Group and the next Board meeting.	
New Actions			Lead	Timescale
Item 2: Risk Registers & Self-Assessment	06/17-01: RMc to invite NHS England to come to the next Board meeting to provide an update on the changes to the Child Health Information Service (CHIS) and the perceived clinical and safeguarding risks that have been identified, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Poor/ Ineffective information flows (new births and children transferring in and out of boroughs) - Data transfers, the interfaces required to do this and the funding gaps identified. - Unrealistic timescales and contingency arrangements. 	RMc	September meeting	
	06/17-02: JG to formally write to partners who have not submitted a recent partner update.	JG	31/07/2017	
	06/17-03: JG to write to City of London Corporation and Hackney Children & Families Service requesting review of data capture in respect of referrals..	JG	31/07/2017	
Item 3: Safeguarding Performance Trends	06/17-04: Engagement with relevant community forums to be considered at next roundtable meeting. ..	RMc	Next meeting	
Item 5: Partnership Updates	06/17-05: JG to write to partners to identify: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - what arrangements they have in place to identify vulnerable children transitioning from primary to secondary schools. - how this information is/ could be shared with schools. 	JG	Next meeting	
	06/17-06: City of London Police to share summary of learning from domestic abuse case audits.	MC/ PBa	Next meeting	
	06/17-07: JG to write to all partners in respect of how to effectively and consistently engage non-statutory bodies when developing partnership initiatives.	JG	Next meeting	