



# Joint Serious Case Review & Domestic Violence Homicide Review – Child D



city & hackney  
safeguarding  
children board

# Background

- Child D aged 22 months and Ms AB (aged 45) died in March 2014.
- They were murdered by Ms AB's partner and father of Child D, Mr YZ (aged 53).
- In December 2014, Mr YZ was convicted of murder and sentenced to 35 years imprisonment.

# Scope and Focus

- Review undertaken by:
  - Domestic Violence Homicide Review Panel (established under s9 Domestic Violence, Crime and Victims Act 2004), and
  - Serious Case Review Panel (in line with Regulation 5, LSCB Regulations 2006).
- Joint review agreed by National Panel of Experts.
- Undertaken alongside IPCC investigation.

# Methodology

- Independent Reviewer & Review Team.
- Multi-Agency Chronology.
- Individual Management Report (MPS).
- Interview with eldest daughter of Ms AB.
- Liaison with the MPS Senior Investigating Officer and the IPCC lead investigator.
- Final report produced.
- **Feedback to family.**

# Involved Agencies

Six agencies had records of relevant contact during the period for review (January 2009 to March 2014):

- Metropolitan Police Service
- National Probation Service
- Hackney Drugs and Alcohol Team (DAAT)
- GP Practice x 2 (Mr YZ and Ms AB/Child D)
- Homerton University NHS Foundation Trust

Prior to February 2014 no records of contact with agencies other than general health matters and birth of Child D.

# Background and key issues

- Ms AB and Mr YZ were in a relationship for 18 years and had three children together.
- They had lived together for 14 years.
- Ms AB and Mr YZ also had children from previous partners.
- Friends, colleagues and neighbours knew Mr YZ to be controlling and financially exploitative but no known physical violence.

# Background and key issues

- In October 2013, the relationship broke down.
- By January 2014, Ms AB gave ultimatum that Mr YZ should move out by March 2014.
- In the same period, a Local Policing Model was introduced to improve efficiency.
- This impacted on the Community Safety Unit at a time of staff and skill shortages, increased workload and gaps in performance.

# Background and key issues

- In February 2014, Ms AB reported to Police that a neighbor informed her of a threat from Mr YZ. He allegedly said he'd burn down the house with her and children in it rather than accept the break-up.
- This was inaccurately recorded as 'threat to commit criminal damage' rather than 'threat to kill' (inexperience).
- There was a failure to complete a pre-assessment checklist to share with Hackney CSC as threat involved children.



# Background and key issues

- These errors should have been rectified in primary supervision. However communication failure led to:
  - Incorrect assumption by secondary investigators that uniformed colleagues had undertaken primary supervision and rectified actions.
  - Strategy and actions to ensure Mr YZs arrest not activated.

# Background and key issues

- Due to sick leave, the case was not progressed for 12 days.
- It took another 23 days for it be assigned for investigation.
- cursory checks then undertaken and a voicemail left with Ms AB to make contact.
- Due to other commitments / leave, no further action undertaken by police until events in March 2014.
- This was 47 days after Ms AB brought threat to notice.

# Background and key issues

- As a coping strategy, Ms AB telephoned relatives/friends when Mr YZ verbally abused her.
- Ms AB also sent text messages to update on progress and detail arguments as the deadline date approached.

# Background and key issues

- On the morning of Mr YZs departure, Ms AB phoned her adult daughter (A) who overheard an argument for nine minutes before phone cut off.
- Two minutes later, Ms AB called back and had a whispered conversation. Child D could be heard in the background.
- (A) then was an auditory witness to the violent assault.
- (A) called the police and two PCs attended within six minutes of the call.

# Background and key issues

- There was no response at the house and no signs of disturbance from their limited view on the ground floor.
- PCs requested equipment for forced entry but were not provided with key information that (A) had shared with the call handler.
- Neighbour and Ms ABs mother also provided alternate explanations as to her whereabouts.
- Uncertainty and confusion resulted in 40 minutes of delay.

# Background and key issues

- Ms AB and Child D were found lifeless in the bathroom with multiple cut, stab and compression wounds inflicted by means of a machete, screwdriver and hammer recovered at the scene.
- Mr YZ was found with self-inflicted cut and stab wounds and had ingested bleach.
- A note was left on Ms AB's face.
- Mr YZ recovered after a short hospital stay.

# Background and key issues

- The case was referred to the Independent Police Complaints Commission (IPCC):
  - the three detectives involved in the secondary investigation were the subject of a misconduct meeting, with the outcome that two received written warnings and one management advice.
  - The call handler for the emergency call on the morning of the murders has faced misconduct proceedings and was placed on a three-month action plan to improve performance of tasks and duties.

# Missed Opportunities

- Pre-assessment check list not completed (referral to CSC).
- Incorrect classification meant not escalated to inspector level:
  - Would have flagged omission of pre-assessment check list
  - Referral to Multi Agency Risk Assessment Conference (MARAC)
- Arrest plan for Mr YZ not implemented.
- No consideration of use of Mr YZ's mobile to track or invite to surrender to custody for interview.
- No attempt to contact neighbour to provide evidence of threat.
- Secondary and more sophisticated risk assessment not undertaken.
- MPS system lacked mechanism to highlight errors or omissions and allowed them to remain unchallenged/unobserved.



# Recommendations

- MPS Review:
  - Remind officers of correct procedures through local training plan. To include attendance at CHSCB training.
- IPCC investigation:
  - Victims Codes of Practice
  - Details needed by police when attending incidents
  - Minutes from Daily Intelligence Meetings
  - Staffing within Community Safety Units

# Recommendations

- DVHR/Serious Case Review:
  - Risk assessment for planned mobile system to include clear guidance and requires full explanation of context and supervision actions to be recorded
  - MPS to review Crime Report Information System (CRIS)
  - MPS to review HR support system to ensure staff absence for work-related stress prompts review of officer's work file
  - MPS to provide reassurance that all failed processes rectified
  - Research to be commissioned into safe 'exit planning'. Specialist advice sought regarding 'deadline management'.

# Domestic Abuse Intervention Service

Cathal Ryan

Service Manager

Domestic Abuse Intervention Service

Cathal.Ryan@hackney.gov.uk

[dais@hackney.gov.uk](mailto:dais@hackney.gov.uk) / [dais@hackney.gov.uk.cjism.net](mailto:dais@hackney.gov.uk.cjism.net)

020 8256 2806 / 020 8356 4459

<http://www.hackney.gov.uk/domestic-violence>

# Domestic Abuse in Hackney

In 2015-2016:

- There were 2,606 recorded domestic abuse offences, a 4.7% increase from 2014-2015 when 2,490 offences were recorded
- Hackney Council's Domestic Violence and Abuse Team, the main provider of advocacy services, received 822 referrals
- Hackney's MARAC (Multi Agency Risk Assessment Conference) heard 506 'high risk' cases. This is 25% more than the expected level (SafeLives, who oversee MARACs, advise that the expected number based on the size of Hackney's population is 400 per year)
- In Hackney CYPS, the number of Contacts 2015-2016 received with a referral category of 'domestic violence' was 1,253 (11% of the 11,346 overall Contacts received,). The number of assessments completed in 2015-16 where domestic violence was found to be a factor present was 1,046 (29% of all 3,563 assessments completed)

# Domestic Abuse Intervention Service

- Service Manager  
Responsible for DAIS / case management / VAWG Strategy
- Deputy Service Manager  
Case management / casework / strategic duties
- Intervention Officers x 5  
Casework / partnership work
- Service Co-ordinator  
Manages business functions of the service
- MARAC (Multi Agency Risk Assessment Conference) Co-ordinator  
Manages business functions of the MARAC

# Domestic Abuse Intervention Service

Who do we work with?

- 16+
- living in Hackney
- no NRPF / 'ordinarily resident' exclusions
- male or female
- any sexual orientation
- domestic abuse – intimate partner / intra-familial
- victims and potentially perpetrators

How do we work?

- screen - allocate - work in partnership - risk assess - safety plan - intervene + protect - review - close

# Domestic Abuse Intervention Service

- Already allocated?
- If in doubt - phone 020 8356 4459
- Explain help on offer
- Consent
- Referral Form
- Risk Assessment
- Email [dais@hackney.gov.uk](mailto:dais@hackney.gov.uk) / [dais@hackney.gov.uk.cjism.net](mailto:dais@hackney.gov.uk.cjism.net)
- Liaison

# CHSCB website: [www.chscb.org.uk](http://www.chscb.org.uk)



CHILDREN & YOUNG PEOPLE

PARENTS & CARERS

PROFESSIONALS

TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT

THE BOARD

CONTACT

Search for:

Search

Welcome to the City of London & Hackney  
Safeguarding Children Board

News from the Board




Things you  
should know  
August 2016



Things you  
should know  
July 2016





Follow us on Twitter:  
**@lscb\_chscb**

TWEETS 147    FOLLOWING 96    FOLLOWERS 251    LIKES 46


### City & Hackney LSCB

@lscb\_chscb

The City & Hackney Safeguarding Children Board ensuring our children are SEEN | HEARD | HELPED

- 📍 London
- 🔗 [chscb.org.uk](http://chscb.org.uk)
- 📅 Joined April 2013

📷 34 Photos and videos



Tweets    Tweets & replies    Media



City & Hackney LSCB Retweeted



**City of London** @cityoflondon · Sep 7

The community should play a role in ensuring every child in the area is safe #BackToSchool [bit.ly/2ce0qB5](http://bit.ly/2ce0qB5)



🔄 10    ❤️ 4    ⋮



City & Hackney LSCB Retweeted



**Jim Gamble** @JimGamble\_INEQE · Sep 4

#SEXTING Interesting article.

IMO the law is wrong & the advice provided by many so called experts

# Questions and Comments



city & hackney  
safeguarding  
children board

Hackney Service Centre, 1 Hillman Street, London E8 1DY  
P\_020 8356 3661 F\_020 8356 4734  
[www.hackney.gov.uk/chscb.htm](http://www.hackney.gov.uk/chscb.htm)

Rory McCallum

Senior Professional Advisor

CHSCB

[rory.mccallum@hackney.gov.uk](mailto:rory.mccallum@hackney.gov.uk)

0208 356 4042

Follow us on Twitter: @LSCB\_CHSCB

chscb 